Figure 3.2: Ranking $d_1$, $d_2$, and $d_3$ according to the weight vectors $\overrightarrow{\omega_1}$ and $\overrightarrow{\omega_2}$. 
Figure 4.1: Classification error decreases against the number of iterations in co-training, extracted from [18]
Figure 6.7: The prediction errors of the radars $\alpha_B$, $\alpha_A$, and $\alpha_0$ (10 queries)
Figure 6.8: The prediction errors of the rankers $\alpha_B$, $\alpha_A$, and $\alpha_S$ (30 queries)

Figure 6.9: The prediction errors of the rankers $\alpha_R$, $\alpha_A$, and $\alpha_S$ (60 queries)
Figure 6.10: The prediction errors of the rankers $\alpha_R$ and $\alpha_C$ (which combines the trained rankers $\alpha_A$ and $\alpha_B$).
Figure 6.14: The comparison of the average precisions in the top $k$ results of $ME_A$, $ME_B$, and the underlying search engines with queries of CS terms.
Figure 6.15: The comparison of the average precisions in the top k results of $ME_A$, $ME_B$, and the underlying search engines with queries of news.

Figure 6.16: The comparison of the average precisions in the top k results of $ME_A$, $ME_B$, and the underlying search engines with queries of e-shopping.
Figure 6.17. The overall comparison of the precisions between $ME_A$ and $ME_B$.